Cyclohexylcarbonitriles: Diastereoselective Arylations with TMPZnCl·LiCl

Robert J. Mycka,[†] Stéphanie Duez,[‡] Sebastian Bernhardt,[‡] Johannes Heppekausen,[‡] Paul Knochel,*^{,‡} and Fraser F. Fleming*,†

† Department of Chemistry [and](#page-4-0) Biochemistry, Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15282-1530, United States ‡ Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Butenandtstrasse 5-13, 81377, München, Germany

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-4-0)STRACT: [Deprotonatin](#page-4-0)g substituted cyclohexanecarbonitriles with TMPZnCl·LiCl affords zincated nitriles that diastereoselectively couple with aryl bromides in the presence of catalytic $Pd(OAc)_{2}$ and S-Phos. Steric and electronic effects influence the diastereoselectivity; 4-tbutyl-, 4-TBSO-, and 2-Me-cyclohexanecarbonitriles exert virtually

complete diastereocontrol whereas modest diastereoselectivity is observed with 4-i-Pr-, 4-Me-, and 3-Me-cyclohexanecarbonitriles. The unusual diastereoselectivity trends should prove useful for synthesizing substituted cyclohexanecarbonitrile-containing pharmaceuticals.

I ubstituted arylacetonitriles are prevalent motifs embedded within diverse pharmaceuticals (Figure 1).¹ Typically the

Figure 1. Arylacetonitrile pharmaceuticals.

nitrile-bearing carbon is fully substituted, as in the blockbuster drug anastrazole $\left(1\right) ^{2}$ and the well-studied antiarrhythmic agent verapamil (2) .³ Conspicuous among nitrile-containing pharmaceuticals is the ary[l-](#page-4-0)substituted cyclohexanecarbonitrile pharmacophore a[s](#page-4-0) contained within the second-generation H₁receptor antagonist levocabastine $(3)^4$ and the antiasthmatic cilomilast (4) ⁵ Cilomilast (4) and related arylacetonitriles are being pursued as inhibit[o](#page-4-0)rs of phosphodiesterase 4.⁶

Several exc[e](#page-4-0)llent methods access aryl-substituted nitriles through Pd-c[at](#page-4-0)alyzed α -arylation.⁷ Typically palladated nitriles are accessed by metalation-transmetalation sequences,⁸ decarbox[yl](#page-5-0)ation,⁹ or cleavage of silylketenimines,^{7c} followed by coupling with an aryl halide and a catalyst-ligand c[o](#page-5-0)mbinati[o](#page-5-0)n.¹⁰ Among these approaches, tetramethylpi[pe](#page-5-0)ridine (TMP)

bases 11 in combination with palladium catalysts are particularly efficacious for the direct arylation of aliphatic nitriles.

As [a](#page-5-0) prelude to developing a diastereoselective cross coupling of cyclohexanecarbonitriles, cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5a) was deprotonated with the powerful base TMPZnCl·LiCl¹² and coupled with aryl halides (Scheme 1). During optimization with

 $Pd(OAc)₂$ and S-Phos,¹³ 4-iodobenzonitrile was found to afford significant amounts of [1,1′-biphenyl]-4,4′-dicarbonitrile whereas the correspo[nd](#page-5-0)ing bromide did not. The optimized procedure involves deprotonating cyclohexanecarbonitriles with TMPZnCl·LiCl for 20 min followed by the addition of S-Phos, $Pd(OAc)_{2}$, and aryl bromide and then heating to 50 °C. Under the optimized conditions, 5a couples with the electron deficient t-butyl 4-bromobenzoate (6a) to afford nitrile 7a and with the hindered, electron-rich 1-bromo-2-methoxybenzene (6b) to form 7b (Scheme 1). The coupling conditions tolerate the presence of the t-butyl ester, although the corresponding ethyl ester suffers competitive attack from the metalated nitrile.

Received: June 1, 2012 Published: August 16, 2012

 a No diastereomers were detected. b Ratio determined by ${}^1\textrm{H}$ NMR Spectroscopy. c Ratio determined by GC.

Controlling the arylation diastereoselectivity in cross couplings of substituted cyclic nitriles is a significant challenge.¹⁴ Related arylations of substituted cyclohexanones¹⁵ and cycl[o](#page-5-0)hexylcarboxylate-derived enolates¹⁶ exhibit stereoselectivities in which electronic effects of the substituent and t[he](#page-5-0) aryl halide exert unusual diastereoselectivity [tre](#page-5-0)nds that sometimes do not correlate with the substituents' steric demand.¹⁷

Coupling a range of cyclohexanecarbonitriles 5^{18} with 4bromobenzonitrile (6c) and 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene (6d) as representative electron deficient and electro[n r](#page-5-0)ich aryl bromides, respectively, provides insight into the diastereoselective arylation of substituted cyclohexanecarbonitriles (Table 1). 4-t-Butylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5b) was employed as a conformationally constrained prototype because extensive alkylations provide stereoselectivity trends relating the hybridization of the nucleophilic carbon to the alkylation ratio.¹⁹ The arylation of 4-t-butylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5b) with electron deficient 6c is greater than 20:1 (7c, Table 1, entry 1) [b](#page-5-0)ut is diminished to 8.4:1 with the more electron rich aromatic 6d (7d, Table 1, entry 2). 20 In [co](#page-1-0)mparable couplings with 4bromobenzonitrile (6c), the steroidal nitrile 7e and the silyloxy nitrile 7f ar[e f](#page-1-0)ormed wit[h e](#page-5-0)ssentially complete stereoselectivity (Table 1, entries 3 and 4, respectively) whereas an analogous arylation with 4-isopropylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5e) proceeds with reduced diastereoselectivity (2.3:1 for 7g, Table 1, entry 5).²¹

Coupling 4-methyl-cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5f) and [3](#page-1-0) methyl-c[yc](#page-5-0)lohexanecarbonitrile (5g) with electron rich and electron deficient aryl bromides installs quaternary centers with diastereoselectivities in the range of 3.5−6.2:1 (Table 1, entries 6−8). The diastereoselectivity is only modestly influenced by the electronic character of the aryl bromide (compar[e](#page-1-0) entry 1 with entry 2 and entry 6 with entry 7) whereas the nature and position of the substituent has a dramatic effect. Moving the methyl group progressively closer to the nitrile-bearing carbon increases the diastereoselectivity (Table 1, compare entry 6, 7h, with entries 8 and 9, 7j and 7k, respectively), and is highest for 2-methyl-cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5h) [wh](#page-1-0)ich forms 7k bearing adjacent tertiary and quaternary centers (Table 1, entry 9).

Mechanistically, the reaction most likely proceeds through zincation, 11 tr[an](#page-1-0)smetalation to palladium, and reductive elimination $(Scheme 2).$ ⁷ Deprotonating 5 with

Scheme 2. Metalated Nitrile [Co](#page-5-0)upling Mechanism

 $TMPZnCl·LiCl$ affords a C -zincated nitrile²² that likely equilibrates through a conducted tour $C \rightarrow N \rightarrow C$ metal migration sequence²³ to 8 with zinc in [the](#page-5-0) equatorial orientation.²⁴ Transmetalation of the zincated nitrile 8 with an arylpalladium bro[mi](#page-5-0)de would afford the C-palladated nitrile 9 with sub[seq](#page-5-0)uent reductive elimination affording nitrile $7.^{25}$ Variations in the diastereomeric ratio for the same carbonitrile with different aryl bromides (Table 1, entries 1−2 and 6−[7\)](#page-5-0) implies that an equilibrium exists between axial and equatorial C-palladated nitriles 9a and 9b. A si[m](#page-1-0)ilar electronic influence on the equilibrium between 9a and 9b is implied from the distinctly different diastereomeric ratios of the t-butyl- and isopropylcyclohexane carbonitriles (Table 1, compare entries 1 and 5) which typically exert similar conformational restriction.²⁶ An electronic influence from t[he](#page-1-0) remote 4-t-butyl substituent decreases the s character at the metalated carbon, beca[us](#page-5-0)e of a bond angle distortion at $C-4$,²⁷ causing a

diastereoselectivity difference not present in the corresponding isopropyl and methyl analogs 5e and 5f, respectively. Consistent with the relayed electronic influence from the remote C-4 substituent is the virtually exclusive diastereocontrol for the 4-OTBS cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5d) which has a significantly smaller steric demand than either a t-butyl or i-Pr substituent (0.7, 4.2, and 2.2 kcal mol⁻¹ for OTBS, t-Bu, and i-Pr groups, $respectively)^{26}$ and yet couples with virtually complete diastereoselectivity.

The coupling of 2-m[eth](#page-5-0)ylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5h) is highly diastereoselective (Table 1, entry 9). Presumably the Cpalladated nitrile²⁵ 9 (R^2 = Me) exerts a strong preference for conformer 9a where the small n[itr](#page-1-0)ile and vicinal methyl groups are gauche to [avo](#page-5-0)id steric compression between a gauche methyl group and the sterically demanding palladium-SPhos complex (cf. 9b).

Deprotonating cyclohexanecarbonitriles with TMPZnCl·LiCl and coupling with aryl bromides diastereoselectively affords substituted cyclohexanecarbonitriles. The diastereoselectivity trends are unusual in exhibiting a strong electronic dependence on the nature of the aryl bromide and the substituents on the cyclohexane ring. 4-t-Butyl-, 4-TBSO-, and 2-Me substituents exert virtually complete stereocontrol whereas 4-i-Pr, 4-Me, and 3-Me substituents are significantly less selective. Collectively these alkylations are the first diastereoselective arylations of cyclic nitriles and establish unusual stereoelectronic effects that should prove useful in synthesizing cyclohexanecarbonitrilecontaining pharmaceuticals.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Conversion of Ketones to Nitriles. Modifying a known procedure,¹⁸ solid t -BuOK (1.2 equiv) was added to a vigorously stirred, THF solution (0.1 M) of the ketone (1 equiv). After 20 min solid tolue[ne](#page-5-0)sulfonylmethyl isocyanide (TosMIC, 1.2 equiv) was added. After 4 h, a saturated, aqueous NH4Cl solution was added, the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $Et₂O (3x)$. The combined organic extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) , concentrated, and purified by silica gel (230−400 mesh) chromatography to afford analytically pure material.

4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5d). Two portions of solid $NabH_4$ (360 mg, 9.51 mmol) were added to a 0 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$, methanolic solution (20 mL) of 4-oxocyclohexanecarbonitrile²⁸ (585 mg, 4.75 mmol). After 30 min the ice bath was removed and after 1 h the solvent was under reduced pressure. The concentrate dissolv[ed](#page-5-0) in EtOAc (100 mL), extracted with water (100 mL), dried ($Na₂SO₄$), and then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude hydroxynitrile 270.7 mg (2.16 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (5 mL) and then TBSCl (244.8 mg, 1.62 mmol) and imidazole (229.4 mg, 3.36 mmol) were added. After 16 h a solution of aqueous, saturated NH4Cl (20 mL) was added, the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase extracted with ether (100 mL). The organic phase was dried (Na_2SO_4) , the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude silyl ether nitrile was purified by column chromatography (hexanes) to afford 318.3 mg (82% yield) of 5d as a mixture of diastereomers:²⁹ IR (neat) 2239 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 3.81−3.74 (m, 1H), 2.63 (m, 1H), 2.09−1.99 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.76 (m, 1H), [1](#page-5-0).72−1.52 (m, 4H), 1.49−1.33 (m, 1H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 122.32, 122.25, 67.45, 66.69, 32.12, 27.13, 25.71, 17.99, −4.87; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{25}NOSiNa$ (M + Na) 262.1603; found 262.1615.

4-Isopropylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5e). Performing the general cyanation procedure with 4-isopropylcyclohexanone (1.00 g, 7.13 mmol), t-BuOK (0.96 g, 8.56 mmol), and TosMIC (1.67 g, 8.55 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (95:5, hexanes/EtOAc) to afford 0.865 g (80% yield) of 5e as an oily mixture of diastereomers:³⁰ IR (neat) 2230 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.94–2.90 (m, 1H), 2.33 (tt, J = 4.0, 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.15−2.10 (m, 2H), 2.10−1.98 [\(m](#page-5-0), 2H), 1.81−1.76 (m, 2H), 1.58−1.52 (m, 2H), 1.50−1.33 (m, 4H), 1.12−1.02 (m, 2H), 1.02−0.95 (m, 2H), 0.89 (d, ^J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 0.85 (d, ^J = 6.5 Hz, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) ^δ 122.84, 122.16, 43.22, 42.54, 32.39, 29.99, 28.51, 28.29, 27.14, 25.60, 19.60, 19.50; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_{17}NNa$ (M + Na) 174.1259; found 174.1246.

4-Methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5f). Performing the general cyanation procedure with 4-methylcyclohexanone (1.00 g, 7.13 mmol), t-BuOK (1.20 g, 10.7 mmol), and TosMIC (2.09 g, 10.7 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (95:5, hexanes/EtOAc) to afford 0.829 g (75% yield) of $5f$ as an oily mixture of diastereomers: 31 ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.99−2.94 (m, 1H), 2.43 (tt, J = 4.0, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.09− 2.04 (m, 3H), 1.91−1.86 (m, 3H), 1.84−1.75 [\(m](#page-5-0), 3H), 1.75−1.64 (m, 3H), 1.48−1.32 (m, 4H), 1.32−1.15 (m, 4H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 122.74, 122.45, 37.89, 36.41, 34.01, 33.65, 31.78, 29.54, 28.28, 28.25, 27.20, 25.02, 22.17, 22.13, 21.82; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_8H_{10}NNa$ (M – 3H + Na) 143.0694; found 143.0702.

3-Methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5g). Performing the reaction according to the general cyanation procedure using 3-methylcyclohexanone (1.00 g, 7.13 mmol), t-BuOK (1.20 g, 10.69 mmol), and TosMIC (2.09 g, 10.70 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (85:15, pentane/ether) to afford 0.847 g (77% yield) of the nitrile ζ as an oily mixture of diastereomers:³² ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.98–2.94 (m, 1H), 2.43 (tt, J = 3.6, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.11−2.00 (m, 3H), 1.95−1.87 (m, 2H), 1.85−1.7[5](#page-5-0) (m, 3H), 1.74−1.65 (m, 4H), 1.50−1.40 (m, 2H), 1.30−1.14 (m, 4H), 0.93 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 122.73, 122.41, 37.92, 36.43, 36.43, 34.02, 33.66, 31.79, 29.56, 28.52, 2827, 25.03, 22.15, 21.79; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_8H_{14}N$ (M + H) 124.1126; found 124.1096.

2-Methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5h). Performing the reaction according to the general cyanation procedure using 2-methylcyclohexanone (2.03 g, 18.10 mmol), t-BuOK (2.48 g, 22.10 mmol), and TosMIC (4.42 g, 22.64 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (85:15, pentane/ether) to afford 1.86 g (83% yield) of the nitrile 5h as an oily mixture of diastereomers exhibiting spectral properties identical to that exhibited by previously isolated material:³³ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.83-2.79 (m, 1H), 2.12−1.98 (m, 3H), 1.79−1.53 (m, 11H), 1.36−1.15 (m, 4H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.4 [Hz](#page-5-0)), 1.08 (d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.04–0.90 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 122.28, 120.66, 36.18, 35.29, 34.65, 33.82, 33.50, 30.65, 29.98, 28.70, 25.11, 25.03, 24.88, 21.93, 20.79, 20.07.

General Coupling Procedure. A THF solution of TMPZnCl·LiCl³⁴ (1.5 equiv) was added, dropwise, to a THF solution (2 mL) of the nitrile in a 25 mL Schlenk-tube. After 20 min, complete deprotonation [wa](#page-5-0)s checked by removing an aliquot and treating with allyl bromide and a catalytic amount CuCN·2LiCl solution (1 M in THF).³⁵ Upon complete metalation, SPhos $(4 \text{ mol } \%)$,¹³ Pd $(OAc)_{2}$ (2 gal) mol %) and the electrophile (0.6 equiv) were added and then the reacti[on](#page-5-0) mixture was placed in an oil bath at 50 °C. R[eac](#page-5-0)tion progress was checked by GC analysis of aliquots quenched with a solution of NH4Cl. Upon completion, saturated, aqueous NH4Cl was added, the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether. The combined organic phase was dried (Na_3SO_4) , the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude nitrile was then purified by silica gel flash chromatography or radial chromatography.

tert-Butyl 4-(1-cyanocyclohexyl)benzoate (7a). Performing the general coupling procedure with cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5a, 91.9 mg, 0.842 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.30 M, 0.81 mL, 1.26 mmol), SPhos (10.6 mg, 4 mol %), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (2.9 mg, 2 mol %) and t-butyl 4bromobenzoate (166.4 mg, 0.647 mmol) and purification of the crude nitrile by column chromatography (95:5, pentane/ether) afforded 128.1 mg (75% yield) of 7a as a white solid (mp 93−96 °C): IR (neat) 2235, 1706 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.00 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.18−2.13 (m, 2H), 1.93−1.73 (m, 6H), 1.59 (s, 9H), 1.35−1.24 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3):

δ 165.09, 145.68, 131.61, 129.69, 125.48, 122.14, 81.22, 44.53, 37.20, 28.14, 24.86, 23.46; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd. for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_2$ (M⁺) 285.1728; found 285.1702.

1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)cyclohexanecarbonitrile (7b). Performing the general coupling procedure with cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5a, 91.9 mg, 0.81 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.30 M, 0.81 mL, 1.26 mmol), SPhos (10.6 mg, 4 mol %), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (2.9 mg, 2 mol %) and 2bromoanisole (0.80 mL, 0.647 mmol) and purification of the crude nitrile by column chromatography (95:5, pentane/ether) afforded 88.5 mg (64% yield) of 7b³⁶ as an oil: IR (neat) 2229 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35−7.31 (m, 2H), 6.99−6.95 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 2.40 (br d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 1.89−1.77 (m, 6H), 1.30−1.27 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MH[z,](#page-5-0) CDCl₃) δ 157.57, 129.14, 129.07, 125.94, 122.50, 120.80, 112.18, 55.57, 40.82, 34.55, 25.31, 23.34.

4-((1SR,4SR)-4-(tert-Butyl)-1-cyanocyclohexyl)benzonitrile (7c). Performing the general coupling procedure with 4-(tertbutyl)cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5b, 122 mg, 0.738 mmol),¹⁹ TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.25 M, 0.89 mL, 1.11 mmol), SPhos (9.3 mg, 4 mol %), Pd(OAc)₂ (2.6 mg, 2 mol %) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (10[3.4](#page-5-0) mg, 0.568 mmol) and purification of the crude nitrile by column chromatography (95:5, pentane/ether) afforded 113.5 mg (75% yield) of (1SR,4SR)-7c: IR (neat) 2230 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.26−2.19 (m, 2H), 2.00−1.93 (m, 2H), 1.81 (tt, J = 3.2, 12.8 Hz, 2H), 1.68−1.57 $(m, 2H)$, 1.13 (tt, J = 3.2, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 0.94 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.33, 132.72, 126.58, 121.55, 118.22, 112.02, 47.00, 44.73, 37.56, 32.45, 27.44, 24.54; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}N_2$ (M⁺) 266.1783; found 266.1763.

 $(1SR, 4SR)$ -4- $(tert-Butyl)$ -1- $(4-methoxyphenyl)$ cyclohexanecarbonitrile (7d). Performing the general coupling procedure with 4- $(tert$ -butyl)cyclohexanecarbonitrile¹⁹ (5b, 138 mg, 0.758 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.25 M, 1.00 mL, 1.25 mmol), SPhos (10.5 mg, 4 mol %), $Pd(OAc)₂$ (2.9 mg, 2 mol %) an[d 4](#page-5-0)-bromoanisole (0.08 mL, 0.637 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (97:3, pentane/ether) to afford 103.6 mg (60% yield) of (1SR,4SR)-7d as an oily mixture of diastereomers (8.4:1 ratio) that were separated by preparative HPLC. For $(1SR, 4SR)$ -7d: IR (neat) 2239 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.22 (br d, $J = 10.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.93 (br d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.75 (dt, $J = 2.8$, 12.8 Hz, 2H), 1.66−1.54 (m, 2H), 1.10 (tt, J = 3.2, 12 Hz, 1H), 0.93 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.99, 133.37, 126.67, 122.92, 114.10, 55.3247.10, 43.46, 37.89, 32.42, 27.49, 24.70; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}NONa$ (M + Na) 294.1834; found 294.1811. For (1RS,4SR)-7d: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.75−2.67 (m, 2H), 2.13−2.03 (m, 2H), 1.72−1.63 (m, 2H), 1.17−1.05 (m, 2H), 0.93−0.85 (m, 1H), 0.77 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.85, 128.89, 128.42, 152.50, 114.35, 55.27, 47.21, 37.27, 35.27, 32.36, 27.27, 21.98; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}NONa$ (M + Na) 294.1834; found 294.1832.

(3S,5R,8S,9R,10R,13S,14R,17S)-3-(4-Cyanophenyl)-10,13-dimethyl-17-((R)-6-methylheptan-2-yl)hexadecahydro-1Hcyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-3-carbonitrile (7e). Performing the general coupling procedure with (5R,8S,9R,10R,13S,14R,17S)-10,13 dimethyl-17-((R)-6-methylheptan-2-yl)hexadeca-hydro-1H $cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-3-carbonitrile^{18'} (61.6 mg, 0.155 mmol),$ TMPZnCl·LiCl (2.0 M, 0.77 mL, mmol), SPhos (4.0 mg, 4 mol %), $Pd(OAc)$ ₂ (1.2 mg, 2 mol %) and 4-[bro](#page-5-0)mobenzonitrile (29.1 mg, 0.160 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (hexanes) to afford 92.7 mg (72% yield) of 7e: 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.05−0.97 (m, H), 0.91 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.89×2 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 146.32, 132.73, 126.59, 122.19, 118.27, 111.93, 56.25, 56.13, 53.65, 45.28, 43.42, 42.54, 39.78, 39.48, 38.81, 36.11, 35.79, 35.58, 35.44, 35.37, 32.93, 31.52, 28.19, 28.00, 27.99, 24.13, 23.81, 22.83, 22.55, 20.95, 18.64, 12.20, 12.07. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{35}H_{50}N_2N_4$ (M + Na) 521.3872; found 521.3836.

4-((1S R, 4SR)-4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-1 cyanocyclohexyl)benzonitrile (7f). Performing the general coupling procedure with 4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) cyclohexanecarbonitrile (5d, 109.4 mg, 0.457 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (0.86M, 0.89 mL, 0.685 mmol), SPhos (7.5 mg, 4 mol %), Pd(OAc)₂ (2.1 mg, 2 mol %) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (55.3 mg, 0.304 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by radial chromatography (92:8, hexanes/EtOAc) to afford 73.5 mg (71% yield) of (1SR,4SR)- 7f: IR (neat) 2232 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.70−3.62 (m, 1H), 2.21−2.15 (m, 2H), 2.05−1.99 (m, 2H), 1.68−1.57 (m, 2H), 1.97−1.92 (m, 1H), 1.91−1.82 (m, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 145.49, 132.76, 126.60, 121.23, 118.12, 112.18, 69.51, 43.68, 35.59, 32.83, 25.76, 18.07, −4.63; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}N_2OSiNa$ (M + Na) 363.1869; found 363.1852.

4-((1SR,4SR)-1-Cyano-4-isopropylcyclohexyl)benzonitrile (7g). Performing the general coupling procedure with 4-isopropylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5e, 103.8 mg, 0.686 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl $(1.04M, 1.00 \text{ mL}, 1.04 \text{ mmol})$, SPhos $(11.3 \text{ mg}, 4 \text{ mol} \%)$, Pd $(OAc)_{2}$ (3.1 mg, 2 mol %) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (123.6 mg, 0.679 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (95:5, pentane/ether) to afford 123.7 mg (72% yield) of 7g as an oily mixture of diastereomers (2.3:1 ratio): IR (neat) 2230 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₁₇H₂₀N₂Na (M + Na) 275.1524; found 275.1501. For (1SR,4SR)-7g: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 2.24−2.16 (m, 2H), 1.94− 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.79 (dt, J = 3.5, 13.5 Hz, 2H), 1.64−1.52 (m, 3H), 1.21−1.11 (m, 1H), 0.94 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 146.311, 132.70, 126.56, 118.23, 111.94, 44.78, 42.82, 37.24, 32.42, 26.74, 19.73. For $(1RS, 4SR)$ -7g: ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 2.04−1.98 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.84 (m, 2H), 1.35−1.30 (m, 1H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.5, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.38, 132.75, 127.19, 118.20, 111.98, 44.78, 42.82, 37.24, 32.89, 24.92.

4-((1SR,4SR)-1-Cyano-4-methylcyclohexyl)benzonitrile (7h). Performing the general coupling procedure with 4-methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5f, 90.0 mg, 0.731 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.25 M, 0.88 mL, 1.10 mmol), SPhos (9.2 mg, 4 mol %), Pd(OAc), $(2.5 \text{ mg}, 2)$ mol %) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (102.3 mg, 0.679 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (90:10, pentane/ether) to afford 85.8 mg (68% yield) of 7h as a mixture of diastereomers (3.5:1 ratio): IR (neat) 2231 cm[−]¹ ; 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 146.28, 132.67, 126.91, 126.55, 121.54, 118.16, 111.97, 111.95, 44.39, 37.13, 31.81, 31.52, 28.82, 21.95, 21.86; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₁₅H₁₆N₂Na (M + Na) 247.1211; found 247.1206. For (1SR,4SR)-7h: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.17–2.13 (m, 2H), 1.90– 1.85 (m, 2H), 1.81 (dt, $J = 3.6$, 13.2 Hz, 2H), 1.03 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 3H). For (1RS,4SR)-7h: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.06–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.01 (d, $J = 6.6$, 3H).

(1SR,4SR)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (7i). Performing the general coupling procedure with 4 methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5f, 68.0 mg, 0.552 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.25M, 0.67 mL, 0.838 mmol), SPhos (7.9 mg, 4 mol %), Pd(OAc)₂ (2.2 mg, 2 mol %) and 4-bromoanisole (0.06 mL, 0.478 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by radial chromatography (95:5, hexanes/EtOAc) to afford 79.8 mg (68% yield) of 7i as a mixture of diastereomers (4.8:1 ratio): ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.98, 158.96, 133.44, 133.36, 127.70, 127.16, 126.68, 123.57, 122.96, 114.19, 114.10, 55.31, 43.16, 37.50, 32.13, 31.70, 29.06, 22.06; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C_1 ₅H₁₉NOK (M) + K) 268.1098; found 268.1106. For (1SR,4SR)-7i: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.62−2.30 (m, 2H), 1.86−1.79 (m, 4H), 1.57−1.47 (m, 2H), 1.46−1.39 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). For (1RS,4SR)-7i: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.00–1.95 (m, 2H), 0.98 $(d, J = 6.8, 3H)$.

4-((1SR,3SR)-1-Cyano-3-methylcyclohexyl)benzonitrile (7j). Performing the general coupling procedure with 3-methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5g, 176 mg, 1.428 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.25 M, 1.72 mL, 2.15 mmol), SPhos (18.1 mg, 4 mol %), Pd(OAc)₂ (4.9 mg,

2 mol %) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (198.9 mg, 1.093 mmol) gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (90:10, pentane/ether) to afford 171 mg (70% yield) of 7j as a mixture of diastereomers (6.2:1 ratio). For (1SR,3SR)-7j: IR (neat) 2230 cm[−]¹ ; ¹ ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.15−2.07 (m, 2H), 2.04−1.94 (m, 1H), 1.93−1.85 (m, $3H$), 1.67 (dt, J = 4.2, 12.6 Hz), 1.39 (dd, J = 12.0, 12.6 Hz, 1H), 1.01 $(d, J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 1.05-0.98 \text{ (m, 1H)}; ^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.29, 132.64, 126.48, 121.70, 118.14, 111.89, 44.97, 36.68, 36.61, 33.47, 29.87, 23.32, 21.89; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}N_2$ (M⁺) 224.1313; found 224.1312. For (1RS,3SR)-7j: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.54–2.42 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.45−1.30 (m, 1H), 1.21−1.11 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.65, 132.79, 127.94, 123.8, 112.01, 42.60, 39.19, 34.69, 33.11, 27.26, 21.52, 20.57; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}N_2$ (M⁺) 224.1313; found 224.1312.

4-((1RS,2SR)-1-Cyano-2-methylcyclohexyl)benzonitrile (7k). Performing the general coupling procedure with 2-methylcyclohexanecarbonitrile (5h, 165.0 mg, 1.34 mmol), TMPZnCl·LiCl (1.40 M, 1.44 mL, 2.02 mmol), SPhos (5.0 mg, 4 mol %), Pd(OAc)₂ (17.0 mg, 2 mol %) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (187.7 mg, 1.031 mmol), except performing the deprotonation at 50 °C for 12 h, gave the crude nitrile that was purified by column chromatography (90:10, pentane/ether) to afford 117.6 mg (51% yield) of (1RS, 2SR)-7k: IR (neat) 2230 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.61 $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 2.08−1.77 (m, 6H), 1.62−1.52 (m, 2H), 1.44− 1.38 (m, 1H), 0.79 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.45, 132.68, 126.85, 119.79, 118.25, 111.80, 51.77, 40.12, 39.12, 31.81, 25.44, 23.41, 17.72; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}N_2Na$ $(M + Na)$ 247.1206; found 247.1200.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

 1 H NMR, and 13 C NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Paul.Knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de; flemingf@duq.edu

Notes

[The authors declare no competing](mailto:Paul.Knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de) fina[ncial interest.](mailto:flemingf@duq.edu)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this research from The Center for Advanced Studies, Ludwig Maximilians University (Munich) and the NSF (CHE 0808996 and 1111406 and CHE 0614785 for NMR facilities) is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Fleming, F. F.; Yao, L.; Ravikumar, P. C.; Funk, L.; Shook, B. C. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 7902.

(2) Milani, M.; Jha, G.; Potter, D. A. Clin. Med. Ther. 2009, 1, 141. (3) Cooper-DeHoff, R. M.; Handberg, E. M.; Mancia, G.; Zhou, Q.; Champion, A.; Legler, U. F.; Pepine, C. J. Expert Rev. Cardiovasc. Ther. 2009, 7, 1329.

(4) Noble, S.; McTavish, D. Drugs 1995, 50, 1032.

(5) Rennard, S.; Knobil, K.; Rabe, K. F.; Morris, A.; Schachter, N.; Locantore, N.; Canonica, W. G.; Zhu, Y.; Barnhart, F. Drugs 2008, 68, 3.

(6) Christensen, S. B.; Guider, A.; Forster, C. J.; Gleason, J. G.; Bender, P. E.; Karpinski, J. M.; DeWolf, W. E., Jr.; Barnette, M. S.; Underwood, D. C.; Griswold, D. E.; Cieslinski, L. B.; Burman, M.; Bochnowicz, S.; Osborne, R. R.; Manning, C. D.; Grous, M.; Hillegas, L. M.; Bartus, J. O.; Ryan, M. D.; Eggleston, D. S.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Torphy, T. J. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 821.

(7) For reviews see: (a) Bellina, F.; Rossi, R. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1082. (b) Johansson, C. C. C.; Colacot, T. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 646. (c) Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 234.

(8) (a) Todorovic, N.; Awuah, E.; Albu, S.; Ozimok, C.; Capretta, A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6180. (b) Duez, S.; Bernhardt, S.; Heppekausen, J.; Fleming, F. F.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1690.

(9) (a) Recio, A., III; Heinzman, J. D.; Tunge, J. A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 142. (b) Yeung, P. Y.; Chung, K. H.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2912. (c) Shang, R.; Ji, D.-S.; Chu, L.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4470.

(10) See also: (a) Velcicky, J.; Soicke, A.; Steiner, R.; Schmalz, H.-G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6948. (b) He, A.; Falck, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2524.

(11) Haag, B.; Mosrin, M.; Ila, H.; Malakhov, V.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9794.

(12) (a) Bresser, T.; Mosrin, M.; Monzon, G.; Knochel, P. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4686. (b) Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1837.

(13) Barder, T. E.; Walker, S. D.; Martinelli, J. R.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4685.

(14) Thaler, T.; Haag, B.; Gavryushin, A.; Schober, K.; Hartmann, E.; Gschwind, R. M.; Zipse, H.; Mayer, P.; Knochel, P. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 125.

(15) Aggarwal, V. K.; Olofsson, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5516.

(16) Bercot, E. A.; Caille, S.; Bostick, T. M.; Ranganathan, K.; Jensen, R.; Faul, M. M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5251.

(17) (a) Nagao, Y.; Goto, M.; Ochiai, M.; Shiro, M. Chem. Lett. 1990, 1503. (b) Baldry, K. W.; Gordon, M. H.; Hafter, R.; Robinson, M. J. T. Tetrahedron 1976, 32, 2589.

(18) Oldenziel, O. H.; van Leusen, D.; van Leusen, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3114.

(19) Fleming, F. F.; Gudipati, S.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, W.; Steward, O. W. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3845.

(20) Configurational assignments were based on NOESY correlations, provided in the Supporting Information, or based on the diagnostic 13 C NMR chemical shift of the nitrile group: Fleming, F. F.; Wei, G. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3551.

(21) Repeated couplin[gs](#page-4-0) [demonstrated](#page-4-0) [excellen](#page-4-0)t reproducibility of the diastereomeric ratio.

(22) (a) Brombacher, H.; Vahrenkamp, H. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6054. (b) Orsini, F. Synthesis 1985, 500. (c) Goasdoue, N.; Gaudemar, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 39, 17.

 (23) (a) Patwardhan, N. N.; Gao, M.; Carlier, P. R. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12250. (b) Carlier, P. R. Chirality 2003, 15, 340.

(24) Nitriles have an exceedingly small steric demand, a mere 0.2 kcal mol[−]¹ , and while the corresponding A value for an alkylzinc has not been determined, alkylations of zincated nitriles are consistent with the steric demand of the organozinc being greater: Fleming, F. F.; Liu, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 699.

(25) Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. Organometallics 2004, 23, 3398.

(26) Eliel, E. L.; Wilen, S. H.; Mander, L. N. In Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1994; pp 686−754.

(27) Hawkes, G. E.; Utley, J. H. P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II 1973, 128.

(28) Tremblay, M. WO Patent 2007013848 A1 20070201, 2007.

(29) Plettenburg, O.; Lorenz, K.; Weston, J.; Loehn, M.; Kleemann,

H.-W.; Duclos, O.; Jeannot, F. WO Patent 2009156100 A1 20091230, 2009.

(30) Reid, J. R.; Dufresne, R. F.; Chapman, J. J. Org. Synth. 1997, 74, 217.

(31) Yokohama, S.; Miwa, T.; Aibara, S.; Fujiwara, H.; Matsumoto, H.; Nakayama, K.; Iwamoto, T.; Mori, M.; Moroi, R.; Tsukada, W.; Isoda, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 2391.

(32) Mousseron, M.; Winternitz, F.; Jullien, J.; Jacquier, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1948, 79.

(33) Okimoto, M.; Chiba, T. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1070.

(34) Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1837.

(35) Complete consumption of the nitrile was monitored by GCMS. (36) Higginbottom, M.; Kesten, S. R.; Lewthwaite, R. A.; Pritchard, M. C.; Rawson, D. J.; Schelkun, R. M.; Yuen, P.-W. WO Patent WO 2003092670 A1 20031113, 2003.

■ NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

This paper was published ASAP on August 16, 2012. Minor changes were made to the main text. The revised paper was reposted on August 20, 2012.